1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	CINDY M. LOPEZ,
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204
5	Telephone: (213) 897-7373
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE
8	BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	·
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. 1B-90-176
12	Against:)
13	FRANCIS FARHAD ATRY, DPM) DEFAULT DECISION 1740 West Cameron #106
14	West Covina, CA 91790) [Gov. Code \$11520]
15	Podiatric License No. E-3660,)
16	Respondent.)
17	
18	FINDINGS OF FACT
19	1. On or about November 16, 1994, Complainant James
20	Rathlesberger, in his official capacity as Executive Officer of
21	the Board of Podiatric Medicine, Department of Consumer Affairs
22	State of California, filed Accusation No. 1B-90-176 against
23	Francis Farhad Atry, DPM (hereinafter "respondent") before the
24	Board of Podiatric Medicine (hereinafter the "Board").
25	2. On or about November 16, 1994, Manny Estrella, an
26	employee of the State Department of Justice, sent by certified

27 mail a copy of Accusation No. 1B-90-176, Statement to Respondent,

Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, Notice of Defense forms, and a Request for Discovery to respondent's address of record with the Board which was and is 1740 West Cameron #106, West Covina, CA 91790. On or about November 18, 1994, an individual from respondent's office signed the Domestic Return Receipt. A copy of the Accusation, the pleading packet, Declaration of Service and postal Return Receipt are attached hereto as "Attachment A," and they are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

- 3. The above-described service was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 4. On July 1, 1990, the Board of Podiatric Medicine issued Podiatric License No. E-3660 to respondent. At all times relevant herein, that Podiatric License was in full force and effect.
- 5. Business and Professions Code section 118 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an

6. Government Code section 11506 provides, in pertinent part:

- "(b) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if he files a notice of defense, and any such notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing . . . "
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 1B-90-176.
- 8. On or about March 8, 1995, a letter was sent to respondent, at his address in West Covina, notifying him that his Notice of Defense had not been received, even though it was due in December, 1994. (See Attachment "B"). The Attorney General's Office gave respondent a two-week period within which to return another Notice of Defense. As of this date, our office has not received respondent's Notice of Defense, or any other communication from respondent.
- 9. Government Code section 11520 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take

action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; "

10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds that the respondent is in default and that he has waived his right to a hearing to contest the allegations in Accusation No. 1B-90-176. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the respondent's admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, finds that the allegations, and each of them, contained in Accusation No. 1B-90-176 are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Respondent Francis Farhad Atry, DPM has subjected his Podiatric License to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2222 of the California Business and Professions Code by reason of the Finding of Facts numbers 1 through 10, above.
- 2. Service of the Accusation and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.
- 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

ORDER OF THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE

Podiatric License number E-3660, heretofore issued to respondent Francis Farhad Atry, DPM, is hereby revoked. An effective date of August 10, 1995, has been assigned to this Order.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (b), respondent is entitled to make any showing by way of mitigation; however, such showing must be made in writing and received by the Board at least five (5) days prior to the effective date of this decision.

Made this 11th day of July , 1995.

Attachments: A and B

03576160-LA94AD1297

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	CINDY M. LOPEZ, Deputy Attorney General
3	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-9061
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6 i	
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. 1B-90-176 Against:
12	FRANCIS FARHAD ATRY, DPM ACCUSATION
13	1740 West Cameron #106) West Covina, CA 91790)
14	AKA FARHAD F. ATRZADEH, M.D.) Podiatric License No. E-3660,)
15	Respondent.
16	
17	The Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Complainant, James Rathlesberger, is the Executive
20	Officer of the Board of Podiatric Medicine (hereinafter the
21	"Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official
22	capacity.
23	2. On or about July 1, 1990, Podiatric License No.
24	E-3660 was issued by the Board to Francis Farhad Atry, DPM
25	(hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times relevant to the
26	charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and
27	effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on April 30, 1996.
1	·

JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Board of Podiatric Medicine of the Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Board"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Section 2222 of the Code provides that the Board may order the revocation, suspension, or other restriction of any podiatrist's certificate and incorporate the provisions of Section 2234 of the Code.
 - B. Section 2225.5(a) of the Code provides that a licensee who fails or refuses to comply with a request for medical records of a patient, that is accompanied by that patient's written authorization for release of the records, within 15 days of receiving the request and authorization, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - C. Section 2225(d) of the Code provides that when documents are requested from licensees by the Attorney General's Office, or Medical Board investigators, failure to produce the requested documents within the required deadline shall constitute unprofessional conduct.
 - D. Section 2234, subdivision (e) of the Code provides that the commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician is unprofessional conduct.
 - E. Section 2052 of the Code provides that any person

 who practices or advertises or holds himself out as practicing, without having at the time a valid, unrevoked or unsuspended certificate, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

F. Section 2497.5 of the Code provides, in part: (a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Provide Medical Records)

- 4. Respondent Francis Farhad Atry, D.P.M. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2225.5(a), and 2225(d) of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent failed to comply with a request for medical records of a patient. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. On or about January 14, 1994, the Board of Podiatric Medicine sent respondent a letter requesting the medical records of one of his patients. Respondent was told he was not personally the subject of the investigation.
 - B. On or about January 24, 1994, the Board of Podiatric Medicine received a letter from respondent stating his office required a fee of \$35 prior to copying the medical records. Immediately thereafter,

respondent's office called the Board of Podiatric Medicine demanding the \$35.

- C. On or about February 8, 1994, the Board of Podiatric Medicine sent respondent a letter informing him that the Board does not pay fees in advance of receiving medical records. Respondent was given a deadline of February 21.
- D. On or about February 17, respondent called the Board of Podiatric Medicine and said the Board would not get their records until respondent got his money.
- E. On or about April 7, 1994, an investigator from the Board went to respondent's office. The investigator gave respondent a copy of Code section 2225.5, a copy of the signed medical release, and told him he had until April 11, 1994 to provide the Board with the patient records. Respondent ordered the investigator to leave his office, and failed to provide the records.
- F. On or about April 13, 1994, the Medical Board sent respondent a letter outlining his violations and informing him the case would be referred to the Attorney General's Office.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Practicing Medicine Without a License)

5. Respondent Francis Farhad Atry, D.P.M. is subject

A. Respondent was not licensed to practice podiatry until July 1, 1990.

- B. In approximately April, 1990, respondent bought an office and practice from another doctor.
- c. In or around the end of April, 1990, respondent had a meeting with two of his office staff to discuss their duties and salaries. Respondent told them he would begin seeing patients May 1, 1990, which was two months before respondent was officially licensed.
- D. In approximately May, 1990, respondent performed foot surgery on a patient named Lupe R., and he saw another patient named Lourdes P.
- E. When respondent bought his practice, he signed a Business License Application. At the bottom of the form was a section entitled "Declaration of Business Start Date", which asked respondent to put the name of his business and when he intended to start the business. Respondent signed under penalty of perjury that his business, entitled "Farhad Atrzadeh, D.P.M." was to begin June 1, 1990, one month before respondent was licensed, and one month after he began the practice of podiatric medicine on patients.
 - F. In March, 1991, respondent admitted to a

Medical Board investigator that he had hired two doctors in April, 1990 to work at his clinic prior to receiving his license.

G. Respondent received a citation from the Board of Podiatric Medicine citing him for practicing medicine without a license, and on January 13, 1994, respondent was fined \$2500.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dishonest Acts)

- 6. Respondent Francis Farhad Atry, D.P.M. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (e) of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent committed dishonest and corrupt acts. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. The facts as alleged in paragraph 5, including all the subparagraphs therein, are incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Podiatric License Number E-3660, heretofore issued to respondent Francis Farhad Atry, DPM;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the Board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case;
- 3. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems proper.

DATED: 11-16-94

Janu Rathhila

James Rathlesberger
Executive Officer
Board of Podiatric Medicine
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

Complainant

AMPOUND SO PTATE

OF SO HAD SO JAZOB JAZOB J